

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR INTL-0598-US (P11739) 3966 06/27/2001 Anil K. Kumar 09/892,680 **EXAMINER** 7590 05/06/2004 BARNIE, REXFORD N Timothy N. Trop TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER STE 100 8554 KATY FWY 2643 HOUSTON, TX 77024-1805

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/892,680	KUMAR, ANIL K.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	REXFORD N BARNIE	2643
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  FHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27</u> 2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑ TI     3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matte	·
Disposition of Claims	·	
<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.</li> <li>5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and</li> </ul>	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a		y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been r	pplication No
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not r	eceived.
		REXFORD BARNIE
Attachment(s)		PRIMARY EXAMINER
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		immary (PTO-413)
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.</li> </ul>		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)



Art Unit: 2643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1- 3, 5, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Preston et al. (Pub# US 2002/0155823A1).

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Preston teaches a mobile terminal which can establish a plurality of services with a packet network and separately assess charges for the different session based on received data in (see disclosure).

Regarding claims 2, 3, 5, 8, 9 and 11, Preston teaches that all calls charges can be estimated based on stored charge parameters stored in a mobile unit, roaming. tracking cost of services and using quality of services as a charge factor in (see cols. 1-3).

Regarding claim 13, see the explanation as set forth in claim 1 because the claimed apparatus would be performed by the claimed apparatus including in (see figs. 9-10).

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 14, 15, 17, and 19, Preston teaches that all calls charges can be estimated based on stored charge parameters stored in a mobile unit, roaming, tracking cost of services and using quality of services as a charge factor in (see cols. 1-3).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 10 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Preston et al. (Pub# US 2002/0155823A1) in view of Meuronen (US Pat# 6,473,622) or Kundorf (US Pat# 6,553,217).

Regarding claims 4, 10 and 16, Preston fails to teach the claimed subject matter in detail eventhough it's notoriously well k known. Kundorf teaches a mobile communication system for call charging wherein AOC (advice of charge) can be transmitted to a mobile station for call charging purposes in (see col. 7 lines 4-10). Also, Meuronen teaches a mobile billing system wherein an advice of charge can be transmitted to a telephone terminal in (see abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of either one of the secondary references into that of Preston thus making it possible for a caller to know charges associated with a communication services or determining charges via one's telephone

Art Unit: 2643

terminal without having to rely on a communication system to substantiate usage charges.

Claims 6, 12, 18, 20 and 21-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Preston et al. (Pub# US 2002/0155823A1) in view of 3RD generation partnership project (cited by applicant) or Kalliokulju et al. (US Pat# 6,717,928)

Regarding claims 6, 12, 18 and 20, Preston et al. teaches detection of communication session including start and termination session for charging purposes but fails to teach the claimed subject matter in detail eventhough, it's notoriously well known in the art. 3RD generation (cited by applicant) teaches general packet radio service description which according to (see section titled Mobile management Functionality, pages 33-40) wherein the state of communication can be determined. Furthermore, billing charges can be assessed for a user based on mobility management information in (see pages 152-153).

Kalliokulju et al. teaches a method and system for monitoring communication states of a mobile phone (see disclosure).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of either secondary reference thus making it possible to determine when a telephone is in a communication state based on management state information and to bill the user accordingly for rendered communication services to reduce fraudulent charges.

Regarding claims 21 and 24, Preston teaches a mobile terminal which can establish a plurality of services with a packet network and separately assess charges for

Art Unit: 2643

the different session based on received data in (see disclosure). Preston teaches that all calls charges can be estimated based on stored charge parameters stored in a mobile unit, roaming, tracking cost of services and using quality of services as a charge factor in (see cols. 1-3).

Arguably, billing or charging is done in conjunction with the telephone status including detection of an idle or communication state base on Preston's disclosure. For the sake of argument, detection of a communication status using mobility management state information is well known and arguably would be use in setting forth billing charges for services rendered by a service provider such as in a landline system where an off-hook signal can be used in assessing billing data for toll calls.

Preston et al. teaches detection of communication session including start and termination session for charging purposes but fails to teach the claimed subject matter in detail eventhough, it's notoriously well known in the art. 3RD generation (cited by applicant) teaches general packet radio service service description which according to (see section titled Mobile management Functionality, pages 33-40) wherein the state of communication can be determined. Furthermore, billing charges can be assessed for a user based on mobility management information in (see pages 152-153).

Kalliokulju et al. teaches a method and system for monitoring communication states of a mobile phone in (see disclosure).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of either secondary reference thus making it possible to determine when a telephone is in a communication state

Art Unit: 2643

based on management state information and to bill the user accordingly for rendered communication services to reduce fraudulent or inaccurate charges.

Regarding claims 22, 23, 25 and 26, Preston teaches that all calls charges can be estimated based on stored charge parameters stored in a mobile unit, roaming, tracking cost of services and using quality of services as a charge factor in (see cols. 1-3).

Regarding claim 27, see the explanation as set forth regarding claims 21 and 24 because it would be performed by the claimed apparatus.

Regarding claims 28-30, the combination renders the claimed subject matter obvious.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **REXFORD N BARNIE** whose telephone number is (703)306-2744. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CURTIS KUNTZ can be reached on (703) 305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER REXFORD BARNIE 04/28/04